

**ENSREG Work Programme 2024-2026**

HLG-p(2024-54)\_206

# European Nuclear Safety Regulators Group (ENSREG) Work Programme 2024-26

## Summary

ENSREG's central mission, as an expert advisory group to the European Commission, is to advise and assist the Commission, either on its own initiative or at the request of the Commission, in progressively developing a common understanding that provides a basis for continuously improving the level of nuclear safety in the fields of nuclear installations, and the safety of the management of spent fuel and radioactive waste, while maintaining full openness and transparency to the public and key stakeholders

The ENSREG Work Programme includes actions that intend to contribute, in the light of the current status in the fields of nuclear safety and the safety of spent fuel and radioactive waste, to the fulfilment of ENSREG's mission in the coming three years.

The 2024-2026 ENSREG work programme lists key actions that ENSREG is planning to implement in the given period. The work programme continues to be shaped largely by the objectives of the Council Directive 2009/71/Euratom as amended by 2014/87/EURATOM (the amended Nuclear Safety Directive, NSD) and Council Directive 2011/70/EURATOM (the Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste Directive, RWD). Therefore, a significant part of ENSREG's work in this period will be dedicated to support Euroatom Member States in implementation of obligations under these Directives in line with the overall safety objective and related assistance and advice to the Commission.

As during the previous period, ENSREG will continue to focus on the implementation of the European topical peer reviews (TPR) and post-Fukushima stress tests reviews inside and outside the EU, to support the carrying out of Integrated Regulatory Review Service (IRRS) and Integrated Review Service for Radioactive Waste and Spent Fuel Management, Decommissioning and Remediation (ARTEMIS) peer reviews in coordination with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).

Taking into account the climate emergency, energy security needs and technological progress, some new tasks are included in the work programme in view of harmonising the Euroatom nuclear safety regulatory requirements and coordinating some of their activities. ENSREG's advice and contribution is also requested to assist the Euroatom Member States and the Commission in duly addressing nuclear safety related risks to the EU and Ukraine, caused by unjustified and unprovoked military aggression of Russia also in view of risks to power supply and infrastructure.

Finally, ENSREG will continue to provide advice to the European Commission at the latter's request, in matters such as long-term operation of nuclear power plants, decommissioning, nuclear safety cooperation, and emergency preparedness and response (EP&R). ENSREG will further work on the effective communication of its activities to promote openness and transparency.

## Structure

ENSREG's work programme for 2024-2026 is organised by main topics of the ENSREG activities, under which required actions and specific tasks or expected deliverables are indicated. For 2024-2026, the following main topics were identified.

### 1. Implementation of actions following from Euratom and international peer reviews

This topic covers actions implemented in accordance with the Euratom Treaty and its secondary legislation in view of achieving overall nuclear safety objective, namely, TPR-I, TPR-II, IRRS and ARTEMIS, and actions implemented on a voluntary basis, such as post-Fukushima stress tests.

### 2. Safe management of spent fuel and radioactive waste activities

This topic covers a broad range of actions to be implemented under the Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste Directive, focusing on specific aspects of the interface between national programmes and national reports. ENSREG will take initiatives or contribute to the knowledge building and sharing activities, such as studies and workshops.

### 3. Other nuclear safety related activities

This topic covers mostly new actions needed to support the implementation of the EU/Euratom policy and legal acts, in particular on matters related to the safety of existing and new nuclear installations, security, and diversification of nuclear supply chains in the EU. ENSREG is also requested to review the implementation of international assistance and technical cooperation programs, such as those implemented under the European Instrument for International Nuclear Safety Cooperation (EI INSC).

### 4. Openness, transparency, and communication activities

This topic covers actions to ensure enhanced openness and transparency throughout all ENSREG's activities including reporting on its activities, notably by rendering public all relevant documents, developing a communication strategy, migration to new ENSREG website, and holding ENSREG conferences in 2024 and 2026.

## Prioritisation method

The actions, tasks and deliverables listed under the above-mentioned main topics are prioritised according to their urgency, importance, and potential consequences. The ranking method is the following:

- **High Priority** – Tasks which ought to be tackled first by ENSREG to assist and advise the Commission in correctly implementing and ensuring compliance with the EU/Euratom legislative acts within the set time limits in accordance with Commission decision 2007/530/Euratom on establishing the European High Level Group on Nuclear Safety and Waste Management, Conclusions of the 2798th meeting of the Council of the European Union (Economic and Financial Affairs) of 8 May 2007, any other critical legal obligations, as well as work of major strategic importance to nuclear safety, management of spent fuel of radioactive waste, which if not addressed promptly, could jeopardise the realisation of major goals / the overarching nuclear safety objective, cause serious damage to the Commission and EU MS, result in the infringement of EU legislation.
- **Medium priority** – Tasks which ought to be addressed in a timely way by ENSREG to support Euroatom Member States in implementing the overarching nuclear safety

objective by performing regular work, deepening technical expertise and knowledge sharing on matters of strategic importance to nuclear safety, management of spent fuel of radioactive waste; the delayed implementation of these tasks would not have serious consequences to nuclear safety and could be mitigated by appropriate corrective actions.

- **Low priority** –Tasks which have no critical consequences of delay and may be deferred or delegated to others, if necessary. Typically, they are of supportive nature, mostly indirectly related to the nuclear safety objective and include tasks of an administrative nature.

## Implementation

The full ENSREG work programme includes all planned key actions for the period 2024-2026 and is presented in the form of a table in the following section. It contains further details and expected delivery dates.

ENSREG has established three working groups which are responsible for the specific fields of ENSREG's competence and support ENSREG in the implementation of its mission. Furthermore, three boards were established to take forward specific tasks. These working structures will, as appropriate, put in place their own, more detailed, work programmes to manage the deliverables attributed to them. Where working groups do not have a specific task to deliver for ENSREG they will, in consultation with the chair and plenary, either disband or move to a dormant state pending allocation of further work. The respective ENSREG working group and board chair will report to the ENSREG plenary on the progress of implementation of the actions under its remit.

## ENSREG Work Programme 2024-2026

### List of key actions grouped by topic, indicating main actions with their tasks or deliverables

Topic 1. Implementation of actions following from Euratom and international peer reviews				
Action 1.1: Follow-up of TPR-I on ageing management				
Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
1.1.1. <b>Summary report on updated national action plans (NACPs)</b> informing a decision on future follow-up, taking into account the overall level of completion of NacPs	M	May 2024	WG1 / ENSREG Justyna Więtczak (PL) for WG1	ENSREG/WG1 will prepare a summary report on the updated NacPs (national regulators to provide updates by end of 2023) by end of May 2024.
Action 1.2: Conduct and follow-up of TPR-II on fire protection				
Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
1.2.1 TPR-II peer review workshops	H	2024	TPR Board	Desktop review. Q&A phase. Site visits to specific installations. Peer review workshops. Preparation of the peer review reports. (The main outcome from the workshops will be the summary report, and country review reports)
1.2.2. <b>Monitor the submission of National Action Plans (NACp) by national regulators</b>	H	2025	TPR Board & WG1	National regulators to submit NACPs.

<b>1.2.3. ENSREG Action Plan</b>	H	2025	WG1 with support of WG2 (as per para 45 of TPR II ToR)	Approval of ENSREG Action Plan for follow-up of TPR-II. Approval of update frequency of NAcPs.
<b>Action 1.3: Preparation for future topical peer reviews</b>				
<b>Task / Deliverable</b>	<b>Priority (H/M/L)</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Delivered by</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>1.3.1. General guidance document for the TPR process</b>	L	2025-2026	WG1, with support of WG2 and WG3	Taking into account inputs provided by Member States, participating countries and stakeholders, development of a general guidance document to support, streamline and facilitate the execution of future TPRs to render them more effective and less burdensome for Member States, also on the basis of TPR-I and TPR-II lessons learned.
<b>Action 1.4: IRRS and ARTEMIS missions to EU Member States</b>				
<b>Task / Deliverable</b>	<b>Priority (H/M/L)</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Delivered by</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>1.4.1. Contribution to IRRS and ARTEMIS pools of experts and IRRS indicative programme</b>	M	Every WG1 & WG2 meeting	WG1 & WG2 peer review coordinators Simon Coenen (BE) for WG1	Working Group 1 and 2 working in partnership across IRRS and ARTEMIS peer review programmes for EU countries.

<p><b>1.4.2. Continued interaction with IAEA to optimise the process of IRRS and ARTEMIS missions to render them more effective and less burdensome</b></p>	<p>M</p>	<p>till 2025</p>	<p>WG1 &amp; WG2 Simon Coenen (BE) for WG1 Mario Dionisi (IT) for WG2</p>	<p>Since the IRRS-ARTEMIS combined mission in Spain in October 2018, there has been increased interest from Member States in exploring synergies between the IRRS and ARTEMIS programmes, and in optimising IRRS missions, while keeping the scope in line with the requirements of the NSD, with a view to avoiding overlaps where possible, reducing the preparatory work (particularly for countries with minor nuclear facilities or having received several full scope IRRS missions), and generally lowering the burden on Member States. A combined WG1-WG2 sub-group has been set up to address the concerns raised and explore resolution with IAEA. While combined missions were not generally considered efficient by MS, guidance on “back-to-back” missions has been developed and the first such missions have now taken place.</p>
<p><b>1.4.3. Summary report on IRRS peer reviews</b> under Article 8e (1) of the NSD</p>	<p>M</p>	<p>2024</p>	<p>WG1 Simon Coenen (BE)</p>	<p>Most of the Member States should have finalised a second IRRS peer review mission and follow-up. A review of the experience of all Member States could be envisaged, based on the IAEA summary reports of findings under the EC supported delegation agreements. The topic could also be the focus of a European Workshop on IRRS “lessons learned”. The summary report should also integrate the conclusions of the workshops held in IAEA in October 2023, in particular regarding the minimum and sufficient content of the IRRS review to comply with the NSD.</p>
<p><b>1.4.4. Summary report on the “first cycle” of peer reviews under Article 14(3) of the Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste Directive 2011/70/EURATOM</b></p>	<p>M</p>	<p>2025</p>	<p>WG2 David ULFBECK (DK)</p>	<p>By year-end 2023, all 27 Member States should have undergone an ARTEMIS peer review mission. Reviewing the experience of all Member States will help to prepare the next cycle in consideration of the lessons learned.</p>

### Action 1.5: Post-Fukushima stress tests implementation in the EU Member States

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>1.5.1. Reporting and follow-up of the overall Stress Tests NAcPs implementation status</b>	L	Q1 2024 (Q1 2026)	WG1 Minna Tuomainen (FI)	A closure report to be published by March 2024, based on the updated NAcPs submitted and published by the end of 2023. The report should address the follow-up of those actions still open and in this context whether a further summary report is necessary, once all actions are complete (current expectation 2025). WG1 will, based on MS reporting of updated NAcPs, develop and publish an updated summary status report every 2 years until completion of all NAcPs (next deadline March 2024).

### Action 1.6: Post-Fukushima stress tests implementation in non-EU countries<sup>1</sup>

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>1.6.1. Türkiye</b> – peer review of the National Report of Akkuyu NPP	M	2024	ENSREG 3 <sup>rd</sup> Country Stress Test Board (STB) (PRT Leader)	Final phase postponed to 2024
<b>1.6.2. Follow-up on the implementation of the national action plans for the stress test exercises in Armenia, Belarus, and Türkiye</b>	M	2024-2026	ENSREG 3 <sup>rd</sup> Country STB	Review of NAcPs or their updates.
<b>1.6.3. Armenia</b> – Peer review team (PRT) follow-up mission to review the progress of NAcP implementation	M	2025-2026	ENSREG 3 <sup>rd</sup> Country STB	As part of the follow-up of the implementation of the Armenian National Action Plan.

<sup>1</sup> Timing and priority levels are dependent on the evolution of the projects and geopolitical situation.



<b>1.6.4. Iran</b> – peer review of the stress test national report of Iran (Bushehr)	M	2026	ENSREG 3 <sup>rd</sup> Country STB	Establish peer review team and launch peer review in view of issuing peer review report.
<b>1.6.5. Egypt</b> – Stress tests possibility	M	2026	ENSREG 3 <sup>rd</sup> Country STB	In 2024, ENSREG STB will hold a workshop on nuclear safety standards in the EU upon Egypt’s request in view of conducting post -Fukushima stress tests in El Dabaa NPP.
1.6.6. Other countries – preparatory actions for peer reviews in other countries of the broader region with NPP projects	L	2024	ENSREG 3 <sup>rd</sup> Country STB	NPP projects in other countries of the broader region may reach a stage where preparatory actions for a peer review could be commenced towards the end of this work programme.
<b>1.6.7. Support to SNRIU and Ukraine in maintaining and re-establishing nuclear safety</b>	M	open	Plenary	[Proposed Commission priority] UA has expressed idea on launching a large-scale international peer review on Zaporizhzhia NPP after UA regains regulatory control. ENSREG might provide support to the ‘the day-after [international] mission’ to assess the safety condition of the nuclear facilities affected by war ensuring due priority to nuclear safety. ENSREG support could also be extended to cover other nuclear facilities which suffered the impact of war (Chornobyl NPP, Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology).

## Topic 2: Activities related to the safe management of spent fuel and radioactive waste

### Action 2.1: Activities to support the implementation of the Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste Directive 2011/70/EURATOM

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>2.1.1. Lessons learned and assessment of remaining challenges after 10 years of implementation of the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom with organisation of a dedicated workshop to be held in 2024</b>	M	2024-2026	WG2 Peter DE PRETER, BE	<p>10 years after the transposition of the Radioactive Waste Directive into national legislations, substantial experience has matured among Member States to reflect on lessons learned from the implementation of the Directive.</p> <p>A workshop could be the most appropriate context to review and discuss experiences and sharing best practices and lessons learned from all Member States.</p> <p>Discussions and related outcomes will provide the proper basis to identify if and how (1) to revise guidelines for Member States reporting under Article 14.1 of Council Directive 2011/70 taking also into account synergies between reporting under the Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom and the Joint convention /Euratom and (2) to launch further actions for dealing with common challenges.</p>

## Action 2.2: Other activities related to safe management of spent fuel and radioactive waste

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<p><b>2.2.1. Sharing experience regarding national approaches for specific operational issues highlighted by the 2021 WG2 survey on the non-nuclear radioactive waste management practices in EU.</b></p> <p><b>(Follow-up of Topic 2(a)4 of WP 2021-2023))</b></p>	H	2024-2026	WG2 Maryna SURKOVA, BE	<p>As per Council Directive 2011/70/Euratom Member States are responsible to have a national policy for radioactive waste management including radioactive waste and spent fuel originated from non-energy uses of nuclear and radiological technologies. Such activities produce spent fuel and/or radioactive waste in all Member States and their safe management is important.</p> <p>Following the initial survey on the non-nuclear radioactive waste management practices in EU carried out in WP 21-23, the basis for exploring specific management aspects was recognised by WG2. This could be conducted in a plenary format, e.g., through organisation of a one-day workshop in 2024 addressing specific operational issues on non-nuclear waste management.</p> <p>Interactions with SAMIRA project on the management of radioactive waste generated from non-power use of nuclear and radiation technology will be ensured by WG2.</p>

<p><b>2.2.2. Support for the preparation of a study on a joint European action in waste management (European Parliament Pilot Project) and subsequent participation in the study development</b></p>	<p>H</p>	<p>2024-2026</p>	<p>WG2 Mario DIONISI, IT</p>	<p>The European Parliament has launched the Pilot Project “Proposal for a Joint European Approach towards Radioactive Waste”. The aim of such a study would be to enable policymakers to assess, data in hand, whether technically, financially and in terms of overall efficiency, pooling European resources together to deal with radioactive waste makes sense and determine if a review of current legislation to enable such a joint European approach to radioactive waste management would be required.</p> <p>The primary focus should lie on the required enabling actions for a regional deep geological repository project, including a shared radioactive waste classification system in the EU interested Member States, followed by defining allocation of responsibilities, ownership/title and financial liabilities between the waste generators, waste management organisations (where relevant) and the participating Member States.</p> <p><b>A preliminary activity related to the study preparation started in 2023.</b></p>
<p><b>2.2.3. Technical Position on Radioactive Waste Classification in EU</b></p>	<p>M</p>	<p>2024-2025</p>	<p>WG2 Boris BRENDENBACH, DE</p>	<p>A study sponsored by the DG ENER assessed the “EU radioactive waste classification schemes”. After the publication of the study, ENSREG WG2 will determine a technical position on the study’s findings with a focus on elements which differentiate approaches in the MS and elements which are in common. This action could provide input as part of the support for the preparation of a study on a joint European action in waste management.</p>

## Topic 3: Other nuclear safety related activities

### Action 3.1: Activities to support the implementation of the amended Nuclear Safety Directive

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>3.1.1. Support to follow-up actions on the findings of the 2022 COM report to EP and Council on the implementation of the NSD.</b>	M	2023 onwards	COM	<p>Activity on-going under the study launched by COM “Support to EU Member States in the continued implementation of the Nuclear Safety Directive” which involves participation of one or more ENSREG members in the steering group. Priority topics are regulatory independence, nuclear safety objective, safety culture.</p> <p>May be especially relevant to findings applicable to several, or even all, Member States.</p> <p>Any potential action points will be discussed at the ENSREG plenary to determine how such actions may best be taken forward, by the Commission or ENSREG members.</p>

### Action 3.2: Activities related to legislative and technological development

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>3.2.1. Define and implement ENSREG’s interaction with the future European Industrial Alliance on SMR with respect to nuclear safety and pre-licensing.</b>	M	2024-2026	Plenary	<p>[Proposed Commission priority]</p> <p>Priorities and way forward to be defined based on the WS2 report issued by European SMR pre-partnership Steering Committee in July 2023 and on the outcomes of the European SMR Stakeholders’ Forum of the 26/10/2023.</p> <p>Currently covered by the European SMR pre-Partnership WS2 which should operate until the first quarter of 2024 in its current format.</p> <p>ENSREG’s work will have to be focused on activities of direct benefit to ENSREG members involved in SMR pre-licensing, licensing, and safety assessment across the full SMR life cycle. The link with the European Industrial Alliance on SMR will be established by a clear mechanism preserving the independence of the regulatory bodies.</p>

<b>3.2.2. Development of an ENSREG position with regard to best available technologies (BAT) under the EU Taxonomy Complementary Climate Delegated Act<sup>2</sup>: regulatory work for implementation of Technical Screening Criteria (TSC), beyond existing regulatory requirements (e.g., for use of accident-tolerant fuel)</b>	H	2024-26	WG1 with support of WG2  For WG1, Athime Farda, FR)	[Proposed Commission Priority] In line with Article19(5) of Regulation (EU) 2020/852, and in accordance with point '4.27. Construction and safe operation of new nuclear power plants, for the generation of electricity or heat, including for hydrogen production, using best-available technologies', the Commission shall review, as of 2025 and at least every 10 years, the technical parameters corresponding to the best-available technology on the basis of the assessment by the European Nuclear Safety Regulators' Group ('ENSREG').
<b>3.2.3. Regulatory Framework for fusion installations</b>	L	2024-2026	COM	[Proposed Commission priority] ENSREG to advise the Commission on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the applicability of the existing European regulatory framework to fusion installations;</li> <li>- the timeframe and opportunity for establishing fusion specific EU legal framework and identification of regulatory aspects that should/could be addressed at the EU level for better effectiveness of regulatory approaches in Europe.</li> </ul> ENSREG would identify technical areas where future advice for the Commission would be useful and where closer cooperation among safety regulators could be beneficial.
<b>3.2.4. Development of ENSREG reflection on nuclear safety in the context of armed conflicts – based on the experience of the war in Ukraine</b>	M	2024-2026	Plenary	[Proposed Commission priority] Conduct a reflection on nuclear safety in armed conflict, based on the experience from the RU war of aggression against UA focussing on: 1) maintaining nuclear safety and safe spent fuel management, 2) prevention of nuclear incidents/accidents in a war situation; 3) restart of nuclear installations after the war. The discussion should consider in particular the November 2022 ENSREG's and WENRA's responses to EEB and NTW on a similar topic.

<sup>2</sup> [EUR-Lex - 32022R1214 - EN - EUR-Lex \(europa.eu\)](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2022/1214/oj)

<b>3.2.5. Review of Multiannual Indicative Programme (MIP) under the European Instrument for Nuclear Safety (EI-INSC).</b>	L	N/A	Led by WG1, with support of WG2, WG3 (“ad hoc action” - usually led by chair of WG1 upon receipt of request)	ENSREG reviewed the current MIP in 2021 and 2023. Next ENSREG review during the current MIP might be necessary by the end of 2026, sufficient material and information should be provided.
<b>3.2.6. Propose way forward to analyse Counterfeit, Fraudulent and Suspect Items (CFSI) issues between EU/Euroatom regulatory authorities, TSOs and Industry.</b>	M	2024	WG1  Minna Tuomainen (FI)	The issue of Counterfeit, Fraudulent and Suspect Items (CFSI) in the supply chain has been identified during the 2017 ENSREG Conference as a key issue. The topic is being considered for the ENSREG Conference 2024. The added value of organising a technical meeting for the European countries (between EU/Euroatom regulatory authorities, TSOs and industry) on CFSI issues must be confirmed, as exchange of information already exists in the framework of OECD/NEA/CNRA/WGSUP and EGOE.

**Action 3.3: Advice to the Commission and development of common understanding among regulatory bodies on engaging with the public on issues concerning: long term operation, new build, and decommissioning**

<b>Task / Deliverable</b>	<b>Priority (H/M/L)</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Delivered by</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
<b>3.3.1. Analyse and propose ways forward to ensure effective and constructive public engagement in the decision-making process regarding LTO and decommissioning.</b>	M	2024-2026	Plenary / WG3 with support of WG1 and WG2 For WG3, Emmanuel Bouchot (FR)	WG3 to examine, with the support of WG1 and WG2, how national regulators organise (or participate in the organisation of) public consultations regarding LTO and decommissioning. On this basis, best practice approaches may be defined.

### Action 3.4: Security of supply and diversification of nuclear supply chains in the EU

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>3.4.1. Analyse and propose way forward to ensure effective cooperation between relevant national EU regulators as regards regulatory aspects of the diversification of nuclear fuel cycle services and supply of spare parts.</b>	H	2024-26	Plenary / WG1	WG1 to discuss and propose a way forward on how to best coordinate regulatory action to facilitate the supply diversification in these areas.



## Topic 4: Openness, transparency, and communication of ENSREG activities

### Action 4.1: ENSREG Regulatory Conferences on Nuclear Safety

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
4.1.1. Deliver ENSREG Conferences in 2024 and 2026	H	June 2024 and 2026	Steering Committees for the ENSREG conference	Review conference organisation based on lessons learned from the previous conference in 2022, increase participation from the industry and other stakeholders, include additional topics reflecting the main areas of interest for the public and NGOs. ENSREG Conferences are taking place every two years, thus the next ones will be held in 2024 and 2026. They are organised by a volunteer regulator, ENSREG member, and supported by some other volunteer ENSREG members and the European Commission.

### Action 4.2: ENSREG website

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
4.2.1. Finalise the migration of the ENSREG website to <u>Europa domain</u> (europa.eu) and its rebuilding on the new hosting platform.	H	September 2024	EC website management team, reporting to WG3	The new website must be completely revamped and must run on the latest version of Drupal CMS. All content available on the previous version of the website must remain available and easily accessible. The hosting and maintenance arrangements must be simplified, continuous and highly professional technical support must be ensured, the layout must be modernised and the visual attractiveness and user-friendliness enhanced.

### Action 4.3: ENSREG activity reports and preparation of work programme

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>4.3.1. 8th and 9th ENSREG activity report</b>	M	2024 / 2026	Plenary/EC high level task group	Every two years. Contributions from the working groups and the Secretariat.
<b>4.3.2. Preparation of the 2027-2029 Work Programme</b>	M	2026	ENSREG chair, working with groups chairs and EC	

### Action 4.4: ENSREG Communication Policy and Strategy

Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
<b>4.4.1. Elaboration of the terms of reference (ToR) for the TPR Focus Group</b>	M	2024-2025	WG3 Sebastian Khun (DE)	<p>Public engagement is a critical component of the TPR process, as it provides an opportunity for the public to contribute with their perspectives to the review. Effective public engagement can improve the quality of the TPR, increase transparency, and build trust between stakeholders and regulatory authorities.</p> <p>The ToR of the TPR Focus Group, to be drafted after the end of the current phase of public engagement of the TPR-II and provide details on the timeframe of its activities.</p>

4.4.2. Elaboration of guidance in enhancing public and stakeholder engagement in TPR process	M	2025	WG3 Abigail Murphy (IE)	<p>Building up transparency and facilitate public participation in the European stress tests process, acknowledging the importance of communication and transparency to further enhance public understanding of nuclear safety issues and the role of the national regulatory authorities, the TPR process includes opportunities for public participation/consultation during the whole process/at specific moments.</p> <p>Several strategies may be available for engaging Stakeholders namely, tailor engagement activities to specific stakeholder groups, provide clear and accessible information, create opportunities for dialogue and feedback, use a variety of engagement methods, and incorporate stakeholder feedback into the TPR process.</p>
<b>Action 4.5: ENSREG's administrative procedures</b>				
Task / Deliverable	Priority (H/M/L)	Date	Delivered by	Remarks
4.5.1. Update of ENSREG's Rules of Procedure	M	2024-2026	ENSREG Secretariat and Plenary	Update ENSREG Rules of Procedure to comply with the Commission Decision of 30.5.2016 establishing horizontal rules on the creation and operation of Commission expert groups.