



the value of

European peer reviews

Jan Haverkamp – *senior expert nuclear energy and energy policy*
jan.haverkamp@greenpeace.org

0th peer review: Post-Fukushima

Conclusion after assessment of 11 NPPs:

- far too much shifted to studies
- too much (heavily) delayed
- not everything implemented

No solution for the big holes in the assessment:
emergency response, security, liability

1st peer review: LTO

Only minimal outcome – “better than nothing”

Continued frustration wider need of information input – EIA procedures

- BE, CZ, NL, FR, ES, SK

2nd peer review: Fire protection

Will it dare to think the unthinkable?

Will it have teeth?

Discussion!

Jan Haverkamp – *senior expert nuclear energy and energy policy*
jan.haverkamp@greenpeace.org