

ENSREG Stakeholder Engagement Event on TPR II Panel discussion on the value of European peer reviews 22.06.2021

Roger Lundmark— Chairman of ENISS (European Nuclear Installations Safety Standards Initiative)



















Welcome address – setting the scene

- ENISS is committed to contributing to nuclear safety:
 The TPR is a useful mechanism to deliver the objectives of the Nuclear Safety Directive (NSD).
 - TPRs offer an opportunity for benchmarking, sharing of experiences and increasing confidence in the completeness and adequacy of the practices.
- Numerous reviews and international programmes:

Care should be taken in the way resources are employed, and on the coherence, rationality, complementarity between the programmes.



Feedback from the 1st TPR – Ideas for the Way Forward

- Learning from each other and applying common and state-of-the-art approaches and methods bring value to the industry as a whole.
 - Design and manufacturing differences as well as safety significance should be factored in.
 - ENISS encourages the greater adoption of risk informed decision making.
- ENISS members were significantly involved in the 1st TPR.
 - Reinforce communication and sharing before significant resources are invested: what is expected from the licensees and the TPR process?
 - Strengthen the guidance on the structure and layout of national reports.
 - A timely and high quality output throughout the process needs a good timing of the different phases of the TPR.

Feedback from the 1st TPR – Ideas for the Way Forward

A. Focus Common understanding and alignment:

- Laying the basis for the interactions: Assessment criteria should be discussed, understood and endorsed well in advance among the TPR participants.
- Experts involved should be familiar with the country specific requirements and legislation.
- Country delegations should include experts from licensees.
- Well understood criteria and process enable more efficient discussions to support and align the final findings and areas for improvement.

B. Focus Ownership:

- To further enable the benefit for nuclear safety, reviewed parties need to understand and accept the review findings. Thereby, licensees' arguments should also be well understood.
- Country specific findings should be internalized before a plenary session/workshop.

Feedback from the 1st TPR – Ideas for the Way Forward

A+B:

Common understanding, Alignment and Ownership.

We thank ENSREG for the opportunity to give our retroand prospective view on the TPRs in today's stakeholder event

With our best wishes for a fruit- and useful TPR for the benefit of nuclear safety in Europe.

Thank you!



