

NUCLEAR SAFETY RESEARCH AND STAKEHOLDERS

- Nuclear safety (including existing reactors) requires continuing support from research, in order to avoid risk of complacency: cost is an issue
- Research should cover issues related to all types of nuclear installations envisaged to be used in next years, existing, evolutionary, innovative, including aspects of fuel cycle and waste
- Research should provide facts to reverse unfavourable public and political attitudes to nuclear energy in some states
- There are many stakeholders involved in nuclear safety research (political representatives; public; regulators; utilities, manufacturers, vendors; research organizations and NGOs), regulatory bodies being one of them
- Commitment needed of all stakeholders on strategic R&D areas in accordance with the needs, available resources and options for time horizon of several decades
- Coordination and communication between all stakeholders from technical and sociopolitical sides is needed on needs and priorities, pooling of resources and combined funding
- There is no reason to make strict separation between regulatory and other kinds of research
- In spite of globalisation, it is needed to maintain research infrastructure in all countries to comply with national responsibility for nuclear safety







SPECIFICS AND EXPECTATIONS FROM REGULATORY **ORIENTED RESEARCH**

- The regulators have exceptional role as stakeholders influencing policies, and establishing and supervising compliance with the legislation
- Strengthening of regulatory bodies is a high priority task needed to ensure efficient supervision over nuclear safety and to implement any kind of legislation.
- The regulatory bodies need specific tools and methods for safety assessment to enhance their competencies and capabilities to interpret scientific facts.
- Areas of special regulatory interests include development of scientifically based regulations and guides, quantification of margins to safety criteria, environmental issues, radioactive waste management, spent fuel storage and disposal, etc.
- **Countries have obligations to build nuclear infrastructure, including research**
- **Regulators have unique possibility to enforce implementation of advanced** methods into practices in operating organizations, maintain the retention of critical knowledge
- Publicly funded research for regulatory support is an important factor for regulatory independence as essential component for the public trust





NUCLEAR RESEARCH



INCENTIVES FOR THE NUCLEAR SAFETY RESEARCH TOPICS

Requirements of the current national legislation, IAEA safety standards, WENRA RLs and safety objectives, EU directives

Reduction and quantification of the largest uncertainties in safety analyses

Improvements of quality of safety demonstration for existing and newly built NPP (based on numerous reviews of SARs)

Responses to challenges resulting from the new technologies and multiple nuclear applications





SUSTAINABLE NUCLEAR ENERGY TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM



technologies in the following areas:

- Innovation and performance of the existing NPPs, in-service inspection, qualification and nondestructive examination, design and demonstration of the next generation of fission reactors and SMRs
- Enabling conditions like safety of NPPs, development of fuel, assessment of the fuel cycle, management of spent-fuel, dismantling and decommissioning, strengthening social and environmental engagement, and the economic aspects.
- Cross-cutting technologies, like digitalization, modelling and simulation, and materials
- Non-technological cross-cutting aspects such as research infrastructure, harmonisation and education, training and knowledge management

Source: SNETP Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda 2021 www.snetp.eu

- **Comprehensive overview of research topics is regularly** updated by the SNETP (newest in 2021)
- The document summarizes coordinated EU view, R&D and innovation challenges and priorities for nuclear fission







SNETP – EXAMPLES OF R&D TOPICS FOR SAFETY OF NPPS

- Assessment and mitigation of external hazards especially those beyond design basis
- Identification and quantification of uncertainties within the assessment methods
- Improving the methods dealing with source identification and cumulative hazards
- Development of methods extending the scope of PSA for inherent safety features;
- Focus on long-term and multi-unit loss of safety functions
- Development and validation of advanced tools for DSA and PSA
- Safety and reliability assessment of passive safety systems and inherent safety features
- Reliability evaluation of digital I&C and its integration into PSA
- The ability to cool in- and ex-vessel corium/debris
- Mitigation of gas explosion risk in containment
- Source term assessment and mitigation
- Accidents in spent-fuel pools







EXAMPLES OF SPECIFIC DIRECTIONS FOR ENHANCEMENTS IN THE AREA OF SAFETY ASSESSMENT

- Integration of neutronic, thermal-hydraulic, structural and radiological safety analysis by means of code coupling or code integration;
- Reducing uncertainties and harmonization of approaches for determination of the source term and prediction of radiological consequences of reactor accidents;
- Improving practicability and broader use of methods for quantification of uncertainties in DSA, with future extension of the methods also to severe accidents;
- Further development of safety analyses by means of microsimulation codes (such as CFD codes) and their acceptance in licensing;
- Development of methods and guidance documents for systematic safety analysis of different types of innovative designs;
- Broader international consensus on demonstration of practical elimination of early or large radioactive releases for both evolutionary and innovative reactor designs;
- Harmonization of approaches for analysis of internal and external hazards including links between the hazards and plant states;
- Closer integration of deterministic and probabilistic safety analysis approaches





NUCLEAR RESEARCE

