

National Action Plan (NAcP) Guidance as directed within the ENSREG Stress test Action Plan

HLG_p(2012-21)_104

HLG_p(2012-21)_104

General considerations of structure and content of NAcP for consideration by Owners and Authors

- 1. The NAcP should serve both national regulatory follow-up of Fukushima related activities at the NPPs and the peer review by common discussion of this plan according to the ENSREG Action Plan
- 2. To assist in the peer review activity referred to in point 1 the NAcP should where possible be aligned with the six topics identified by the CNS EOM, noting that topics 1 to 3 are identical with the three stress test topics.
- 3. Issues and activities under Topics 1-3 shall be subject to the peer review according to the ENSREG Action Plan.
- 4. The items of the ENSREG "Compilation of Recommendations and Suggestions" document should be used to structure the topics 1 to 3. The items of the summary report and rapporteurs reports of the CNS EOM topic 1 to 3 should be added within this structure. The items of the summary report and rapporteurs reports of the CNS EOM should be used to structure the topics 4 to 6.
- 5. The working material *National Action Plan Table_2012_10_16_.xls* gives guidance on how the items, combining "Compilation of Recommendations and Suggestions" and CNS, might be collated and presented.
- 6. Establish a provision for those topics which are additional to these 6 and have been identified as important to deliver within the NAcP e.g. cross cutting issues and additional activities derived from national reviews and related decisions.
- 7. Structure the details of the NAcP at national level and site level allowing a reader to follow the information trail to a site level wherever relevant and reasonably practicable.
- 8. When available where any relevant output of the additional fact finding site visits have influenced or added items to the plan these should be identified and aligned with the relevant topics described above
- 9. Align the activities implemented within the plan with a timeline and identify key milestones.

Editorial Considerations regarding Document Size and Additional Information

- Details of the process the regulator took to deliver the NAcP, the approval process, and the approval level of the NAcP
- The national approach to monitoring and achieving compliance / resolution including regulatory structure and intervention
- Identify the date of the NAcP.
- Aim to have no more than 50 pages in length.
- Be as clear and accessible to the public as possible.
- State the proposed approach to transparency and public interaction

Recommended Structure of the NAcP to support Peer Review by Common Discussion

Template	
Introduction	General information on the NAcP and its implementation process
Part I	Topics 1 to 3 Address national conclusions and generic activities related to each item of the "Compilation of Recommendations and Suggestions" document identified under topics 1 to 3, including related CNS EOM issues. Make reference to implementation of related activities as described in Part IV for specific (site specific) technical measures.
Part II	Topics 4 to 6 Address national conclusions and generic activities related to each issue identified by the CNS EOM. Make reference to implementation as described in Part IV as appropriate.
Part III	Additional topics Address conclusions and generic activities derived from national reviews and related decisions. Make reference to implementation of activities as described in Part IV for specific (site specific) technical measures.
Part IV	Implementation of Activities This section should identify timelines and key milestones of each improvement activity. These should address all activities taken, planned or already implemented, make reference to the related responses and conclusions given in Parts I to III above. Be as site specific as appropriate.

Working material:





Compilation of Recommendationsl.pc

National Action Plan Table 2012_10_16_.



cns-summaryreport 310812.pdf