



Minutes of the 58th meeting of ENSREG

26 March 2026, Brussels, Belgium

Participants

The meeting was chaired by the **Chair of ENSREG, Mr Juan Carlos Lentijo**.

ENSREG Members representing national authorities responsible for nuclear safety and safe management of spent fuel and radioactive waste from 22 EU Member States and the European Commission participated in the 58th ENSREG meeting, which took place on 26 March 2026 in Brussels. The meeting was attended by all ENSREG Members, except for the members from Estonia, Croatia, Latvia, Malta and Romania.

Observers from the Heads of European Radiological Protection Competent Authorities (HERCA), the Western European Nuclear Regulators Association (WENRA), Norway, Switzerland, Ukraine and the United Kingdom participated in the open session of the meeting.

The nominative list of all participants to the 58th ENSREG meeting is attached as Annex III.

Part I – Closed session (Members only)

I.1 Administrative matters and items endorsed without discussion

1.1 Nominations

The Chair welcomed six new ENSREG members:

- Ms Elizabeth Tsvetanova, Deputy Chair of the Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (BNRA), Bulgaria
- Mr Štěpán Kochánek, Chair of the State Office for Nuclear Safety, SÚJB, Czech Republic
- Mr Peter Hart, DDG Nuclear Safety and Waste Management, German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Climate Action, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMUKN), Germany
- Mr Luc Chanial, International Adviser to the Executive Committee, French Authority for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ASNR), France
- Mr Francesco Giorgianni, Expert Member of the ISIN Board of Advisors, Italy
- Ms Catarina Danestig Sjögren, Director for Regulation and Knowledge Development at the Swedish Radiation Safety Authority, Sweden

The list of ENSREG Members as of March 2026 is presented in Annex II.

The Chair informed that Mr Sikorski (DE) has expressed willingness to serve as Chair of the Task Force on Fusion Energy. His candidature was circulated by email to ENSREG Members on 19 March and no objections were received before or during the meeting.

ENSREG took the decision to:

- **endorse the nomination of Mr Sikorski as Chair of the Task Force on Fusion Energy**

1.2 Streamlining of minutes

The Chair presented the new approach to the ENSREG plenary minutes. Until now, the ENSREG Plenary minutes were very comprehensive and summarised the discussions on each agenda item. In agreement with this ENSREG Plenary, the ENSREG Secretariat will draft the minutes focusing mostly on key decisions, outcomes and operational conclusions following closely the meeting's agenda. This change is necessary to comply with the timeframe (10 days) established in the Rules of Procedure by allowing for a more efficient and faster drafting and approval process. However, the Chair clarified that if any ENSREG Member expresses an explicit request to record their position during the meeting or afterwards during the written consultation period, the Secretariat will include it in the draft minutes.

1.3 Silence procedure items

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_804 WG1 Report ENSREG Plenary 26-03-2026.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_805 WG2 Report ENSREG Plenary 26-03-2026.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_806 WG3 Report ENSREG Plenary 26-03-2026.pdf](#)

[HLG-p\(2026-58\)_225 Report on the WG2 Workshop-Medical Waste](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_801 WG1-WG2 Subgroup on Improvement of Peer Review Missions Efficiency and Effectiveness.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_807 ENSREG Task Force on Fusion Energy-Nominations.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_808 Terms of Reference-ENSREG Task Force on Fusion Energy.docx](#)

The Chair informed that no objections were raised on the following items during the silent procedure before the meeting which are therefore considered adopted by the Plenary:

- Summary reports from WG Chairs (WG Chairs)
- Report on the WG2 Workshop on National and International Experiences in Management of Radioactive Waste Arising from Medical Applications (WG2)
- WG1/WG2 Subgroup on Improvement of Peer Review Missions Efficiency and Effectiveness (WG1 & WG2)
- Nominations to the Task Force on Fusion Energy (TF on Fusion Energy)
- Terms of Reference for the Task Force on Fusion Energy (TF on Fusion Energy)

The Chair informed that comments were received during the silent procedure on the ENSREG Statement on Armenia. Therefore, the ENSREG Statement on Armenia was moved under the

agenda point “Armenia Peer Review” in the closed session. One addition was made to the agenda regarding the upcoming expiry of the two Vice-Chair’s and WG3 Chair mandates.

The Members present adopted the amended agenda as presented in Annex I.

ENSREG took the decision to:

- **adopt the draft amended agenda**

1.4 Upcoming expiry of two Vice-Chair’s and WG3 Chair mandates

The **Chair** informed of the upcoming ends of 2nd terms for Mr João Oliveira Martins as ENSREG’s 2nd Vice-Chair and WG3 Chair by the end of the year and Mr Marco Brugmans as ENSREG 1st Vice-Chair until the Spring plenary in 2027. The ENSREG Rules of Procedure allow a maximum of two terms for the position of Vice-Chair. The Chair invited expressions of interest from Members and reminded of the usual practice to have two Vice-Chairs representing respectively nuclear and non-nuclear countries.

ENSREG:

- **took note of the upcoming end of the two Vice-Chairs and WG3 Chair mandates**
- **invited Members to send nominations to the ENSREG Secretariat**

I.2 Information items

2.1 Grant Scheme progress and next steps

Mr Jan Panek (EC) provided an update on the Grant Scheme¹ progress and next steps. Four applications were received in 2025 of which three proposals met both qualitative assessment thresholds (per award criteria and a total 70 points for all criteria). As they amounted to a total sum above the maximum amount foreseen for 2025, the applications were ranked according to their scores.

The next call for proposals is currently under preparation and planned to be launched in May 2026. Mr Panek encouraged Members to share their ideas on possible topics and clarified that applications not awarded under the 2025 grant procedure are not excluded from the new call for proposals if relevant topics are included in the call.

ENSREG took note of:

- **the update on the Grant Scheme award results and the next steps.**

2.2 Armenia Peer Review

[HLG-p\(2026-58\)_224 Armenia 2025 NAcP Peer Review Report.pdf](#)

[HLG-p\(2026-58\)_223 ENSREG Statement on Armenia.pdf](#)

¹ Support to national nuclear safety regulatory authorities for coordinated approaches to new regulatory challenges in nuclear safety

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_813 Armenia ST PRT Report ENSREG Plenary 26-03-2026.pdf](#)

Mr Jozef Misak, Team Leader of the Peer Review Team (PRT) to Armenia presented the Peer Review Report. Overall, the PRT team recognises that significant efforts have been made since 2019 in improving plant safety. However, some high priority recommendations are still not completed and the PRT calls for an acceleration on their implementation.

Members in the discussion highlighted that the stress test should not be used as a basis for lifetime extension of the Armenian Nuclear Power Plant and stressed the importance of the ENSREG Statement on Armenia accompanying the publication of the Peer Review Report in this regard. They agreed on the need for a fixed and near timeframe for the closure of the power plant and on using the upcoming Convention on Nuclear Safety (CNS) review meeting to make the collective position of the ENSREG community clear to the Armenians. **The Commission** informed of an EU-Armenia Summit due to take place in May.

ENSREG:

- **took note of the Armenia Peer Review Report**
- **took the decision to endorse the ENSREG Statement on Armenia accompanying the publication of the Peer Review Report**
- **welcomes the bilateral interactions that the Commission is planning to conduct with Armenia**

I.3 Discussion items

3.1 Concept Paper on new EU Safety Advisory Service

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_802 ENSREG concept paper on EU level safety advisory approach.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_812 Board for Stress Tests in Third Countries report 58 ENSREG.pdf](#)

Mr Petteri Tiippana (FI), Chair of the Board for Stress Tests in Third Countries presented the Concept Paper on a possible voluntary, non-binding Safety Advisory Service that could complement national processes by drawing on collective European regulatory experience, while fully preserving national responsibility. Mr Tiippana requested ENSREG to reflect on whether there is value in exploring an EU-level advisory support for new nuclear projects, whether the proposed guiding principles provide an appropriate basis for such work and whether the Stress Test Board (STB) should continue exploring this concept.

Members expressed broad support for the concept of such voluntary, non-binding advisory assistance, while stressing it is not a form of stress test missions but rather a peer review process designed to provide support to a country at its request. It could be particularly useful for new projects and countries with limited experience in nuclear energy with a possible focus on EU-specific requirements (complementary to IAEA-standards). Interference with national competence and overlap with other international initiatives must be avoided. The availability and selection of the right experts for the service will be key. Changing the requirement of the

Topical Peer Review (TPR) for countries that are doing new builds was suggested while it was noted that the TPR is a mandatory exercise that may not be well-suited for new builds.

The **STB Chair** agreed to draft a work plan outlining the next steps, and regulators interested could informally liaise with the STB to help shape the proposal.

ENSREG:

- **took note of the discussion on the concept of a new Safety Advisory Service**
- **took the decision to give the mandate to the STB to continue exploring this possible service in more technical and operational details, with the aim of presenting this at the next ENSREG plenary**

Part II – Open session

II.1 Administrative matters and items endorsed without discussion

The **ENSREG Chair** welcomed the Observers and presented the key outcomes of the Closed Session (see above), explained the new approach to the ENSREG plenary minutes (as in the Closed Session - see above) and informed on the results of the silence procedure (see above).

II.2 Information items

2.1 SMRs

2.1.1 Policy update on the SMR Strategy and Industrial Alliance

Mr Jan Panek (EC) provided an update on the European Industrial Alliance on Small Modular Reactors (SMRs), in particular, on the first Stakeholders' Forum that took place in January 2026, and the adoption of the Commission's SMR Strategy Communication on 10 March.

2.1.2 Update on the ENSREG SMR Task Force / SMR Dashboard

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_810 ENSREG SMR Task Force_Plenary 26 March2026.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_811 ENSREG SMRs TF - 1 page summary.pdf](#)

[HLG-p\(2026-58\)_226 Dashboard ENSREG SMR Task Force_03 March 2026.pdf](#)

Mr Marco Brugmans (NL) provided an update on the activities of the SMR Task Force, including relevant bilateral meetings since the last ENSREG Plenary, joint early reviews ongoing and SMRs Dashboard updates, as well as interactions with the European SMRs Industrial Alliance TWG6.

Members highlighted the importance of the joint early reviews and emphasised the importance of the position papers to be developed by the industry in the Alliance with close communication with the Task Force and regulators. Several stressed the need to avoid or mitigate iterative work for regulators when SMR / AMR designs change significantly during the

review process. This confirms the need for design to be sufficiently mature before submission, even in the pre-licensing process, and consistency of the documentation. Challenges include ensuring and finding the right balance between proactive/observer involvement in different new (SMR) pre-licensing initiatives.

The Commission mentioned the possibility of introducing SMR-related topics in the next Grant procedure, while a member raised the concern that this could benefit projects from abroad.

The WENRA Chair informed about WENRA's work on the topics of "how safe is safe enough" and the generic approach to reactor concept review, in order to create a generic framework for reactors that would allow for easier mutual recognition of safety review results across countries and with that more effective and efficient national licensing processes and more aligned European safety level for reactors. This framework could potentially be one of the modules in the concept instrument (new EU Safety Advisory Service).

ENSREG took note of:

- **the update by the SMR Task Force Chair**

2.2 Workshop on the implementation of the Nuclear Safety Directive (NSD)

Mr Jan Panek (EC) debriefed the plenary on the successful two-day workshop on the implementation of the NSD focusing on the essential role of adequately financed and staffed regulatory authorities in enhancing nuclear safety across the European Union. The workshop took place early March bringing together regulators, national authorities as well as prominent EU groups and international organisations including the ENSREG, the WENRA, the IAEA, and the OECD/NEA. Mr Panek (EC) invited regulators to express preference on the topic of the next workshop in 2027 by end of June 2026, namely nuclear safety objective or nuclear safety culture.

Members noted that the workshop was a useful exercise. They suggested receiving a summary by the Commission on the main findings to help regulators share the lessons learned with their respective ministries.

ENSREG:

- **took note of the update by the Commission concerning the workshop on the implementation of the NSD**
- **expressed support for the cooperative approach proposed by the Commission as a useful tool to follow-up on the implementation of the NSD, and committed to contribute to the future events on key nuclear safety topics by expressing their views by end-June 2026 on which topic to prioritise - nuclear safety objectives or nuclear safety culture - and which specific aspects thereof to address at the next 2027 workshop on the NSD implementation**
- **took note that the Commission will share a summary on the main findings to help regulators share the lessons learned with their respective ministries**

2.3 Update on the ENSREG Conference preparations

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_814 2026-03-26 Conference ENSREG.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_815 2026-03-26 report prep ENSREG Conference.pdf](#)

Mr Luc Chaniel, Chair of the Steering Committee of the ENSREG Conference, provided an update on the ENSREG Conference preparations, such as the confirmed list of moderators and speakers, on-going activities such as communication and promotional aspects and the progress made during the last Steering Committee meeting that took place in-person in Brussels on 25 March.

ENSREG :

- **took note of the update by the Steering Committee Chair on the preparations of the ENSREG Conference**

2.4 Fusion Energy – Policy update

Mr Jan Panek (EC) provided an update on the EU Fusion Strategy and welcomed the endorsement of the Terms of Reference for the Task Force on Fusion Energy and the nomination of the new Chair of the Task Force, Mr Andreas Sikorski (DE). The Task Force will play a key role in developing the EU regulatory framework for fusion.

The ENSREG Chair informed that he received an invitation from FELEX (informal group of experts established by F4E) to attend and give a presentation at the next FELEX meeting on 11 May. The ENSREG Chair proposed for the Chair of the Task Force on Fusion Energy to attend this meeting in his stead.

ENSREG:

- **took note of the update by the Commission on the preparation of the EU Fusion Strategy**
- **endorsed the proposal of the ENSREG Chair that the Task Force on Fusion Energy Chair represents ENSREG at the FELEX meeting on 11 May in Barcelona**

II.3 Discussion items

3.1 Euratom Topical Peer Review

3.1.1 TPR II follow-up: Draft Action Plan for Challenges

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_816 WG1 Report to 58 Plenary - discussion items_final.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_818 TPR EU wide challenges for the plenary.pdf](#)

Ms Iga Pocztaek (WG1 Chair) presented the state of development of the Action Plan on addressing the EU-wide challenges that were identified during the TPR II. No member came forward to help develop the proposals for actions to address these challenges. The Action Plan should be developed by the end of the year. Ms Pocztaek noted that international

organisations (IAEA, NEA OECD) are already working on the topics concerned by these challenges and should be consulted. In this regard, Ms Pocztaek firstly requested agreement from the ENSREG Plenary to reach out to these international organisations (IAEA, NEA OECD) to organise brainstorming sessions at technical level, inform them about the outcome of the TPR II, and inquire about current work activities in this area. Secondly, she requested support from members in drafting the Action Plan, particular those with specific knowledge of the areas identified as challenges, reiterating that the intention was not for ENSREG to develop new databases or guidance.

Members considered that the challenges are broad and complex, and that the scope should be narrowed down. ENSREG should not create something new but rather work with international organisations. The IAEA for instance could provide input for Challenge 3. ENSREG should also check what already exists in terms of databases, such as the NEA database on fire. **The UK** informed that they would be willing to take the lead on Challenge 3.

ENSREG decided:

- **WG1 to continue working on refining the Action Plan, with the support of both the TPR II team leaders of the different groups and the Commission, with a view to have a final solution at the next ENSREG Plenary**
- **WG1 to proceed reaching out to other international bodies**

3.1.2 TPR III preparation: topics, process and timeline

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_817 TPR III overall process for the plenary.pdf](#)

[HLG-r\(2026-58\)_819 TPR-III visual.xlsx](#)

[Item 3 - TPR III - RHWG topic suggestions to WENRA](#)

[Letter to ENSREG Chair Feb2026](#)

Mr Petteri Tiippana (WENRA Chair) informed that WENRA's Reactor Harmonization Working Group (RHWG) was tasked to work on ENSREG's request to help with the selection process for the TPR III topic and also asked for inputs from the European Nuclear Installations Safety Standards (ENISS), the European Technical Safety Organisation Network (ETSON) and WENRA Members. Mr Tiippana presented the four topics that were identified, namely (1) Use of Operating Experience (OPEX), (2) Climate change / natural hazards, (3) On-site emergency arrangements, and (4) grid stability. **Ms Gisela Stoppa, Vice-Chair of the WENRA RHWG**, provided additional information on the process used to select the topics, including the collection of proposals and the voting process.

Ms Iga Pocztaek (WG1 Chair) presented the proposed schedule for the TPR III, which includes four phases, namely (1) preparation (already started, to be finalised by spring 2028), (2) national self-assessment, (3) peer review, and (4) follow-up (including drafting an ENSREG Action Plan and the National Action Plans (NAcPs)).

Some members supported a broad and inclusive approach for the public consultation, opening the consultation to the general public at an early stage, while other members suggested a

more structured and focused involvement of the stakeholders concerned. The importance of involving stakeholders in the process at the right point of time was emphasised. The public consultation could be done through a Commission platform such as "Have your say".

The nomination of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the TPR Board may depend on the final choice of the topic although the other way around could also work where the Chair would be a high-level manager instead of an expert on the topic; his/her main role would be to help with the coordination of the overall process.

The choice of the topic should be driven by the practical needs of regulators, as well as the availability of (English-speaking) experts. The importance of the topic to safety should also be considered. One member highlighted the difficulty of choosing grid stability, as this topic is the responsibility of another body, while other members expressed preference for this topic given its current relevance and operating experience.

The ENSREG Chair suggested that, based on the discussions by ENSREG Members, to rule out the topic of on-site emergency preparedness, and proposed to WENRA to provide further analysis (scope, safety significance, expected benefits and outcomes from the peer review) on the three pre-selected topics, including on the availability of English-speaking experts. **The WENRA Chair** confirmed that WENRA will be able to provide such analysis by October 2026 earliest, given RHWG's meeting taking place in October.

Members further discussed on the scope and the timeline of the public consultations, including the consultation with the relevant stakeholders, to which the **ENSREG Chair** concluded that WENRA can perform this consultation with the relevant stakeholders. The ENSREG Chair proposed, once WENRA's analysis is finalised, to commit a small group of people, including ENSREG Chair, WENRA Chair and the Commission to digest WENRA's analysis in a very short summary information document and post it on the Commission website "Have your say" for public information and any reactions, before the next ENSREG Plenary. This would help understand the public sentiment on the three topics, with the final decision taken by ENSREG at its next plenary.

ENSREG took the decision:

- to ask WENRA to prepare a comprehensive analysis comparing the technical merits of the three shortlisted topics, namely on OPEX, Climate change / natural hazards, and grid stability, including an analysis of availability of experts
- to reflect WENRA's analysis in a very short summary information document (drafted by a small group of people, including ENSREG Chair, WENRA Chair and the Commission), share with ENSREG Members for review, and post it on the Commission website for public information and any reactions, before the next ENSREG Plenary
- to call for nominations for Chair and Vice-chair candidates for TPR III

3.2 Nuclear Safety during Armed Conflicts and alignment ahead of CNS review meeting

3.2.1 Major challenges to regulatory oversight in Ukraine

HLG-r(2026-58)_823 SNRIU ENSREG 58 ENSREG Plenary

Mr Oleh Korikov (UA) provided an update on the current situation in Ukraine, such as on the impact of the war on major nuclear facilities, attacks on the energy infrastructure and the safety and security threats arising from the Zaporizhzhia Nuclear Power Plant (ZNPP) occupation. Mr Korikov suggested proposals for actions during the CNS meeting, and informed that recent reports by the IAEA Director-General can serve as a good basis for the wording in terms of the outcomes of the CNS review meeting.

ENSREG took note of:

- **the updates on the situation in Ukraine.**

3.2.2 ENSREG-WENRA Statement on Ukraine**HLG-p(2026-58)_219 ENSREG-WENRA Statement on Ukraine 2026.pdf**

The ENSREG Members discussed the joint draft ENSREG-WENRA statement on Ukraine, with a view to publishing the statement ahead of the CNS review meeting in April.

One ENSREG Member expressed concerns on the statement and informed on the need for further internal consultations. The Chair suggested that, subject to the feedback from the one ENSREG Member, the statement could mention that one ENSREG Member does not associate itself with this position.

ENSREG took the decision:

- **to wait for feedback from the one ENSREG Member that expressed concerns and adapt the statement accordingly**
- **to publish the ENSREG-WENRA statement on Ukraine ahead of the CNS review meeting, after final endorsement from WENRA**

3.2.3 Draft report from the reflection group on nuclear safety in the context of armed conflict**HLG-r(2026-58)_820 Presentation RG NSAC for ENSREG Plenary March 26 2026.pdf**

Mr Michael Knochenhauer (SE), Chair of the Reflection Group on nuclear safety in the context of armed conflict, presented a brief update on the work of the Reflection Group, informing on the desired conditions of the different topics identified in its work, and the activities required for reaching these conditions. Mr Knochenhauer also informed on the timeline for the finalisation of the report, with a view to present the preliminary results at the ENSREG Conference in June 2026 and the final report at the next ENSREG plenary.

ENSREG took note of:

- **the update of the work of the Reflection Group and its next steps**

- **RG plans to present the preliminary results at the ENSREG Conference in June 2026 and present the final report at the next ENSREG Plenary**

3.2.4 Alignment of positions on the Convention on Nuclear Safety Review Meeting

Mr Jan Panek (EC) informed on the preparations for the upcoming review meeting of the CNS and the preparation of the Euratom statement which was discussed at the Atomic Questions Group (AQG) in the Council. He informed that the Euratom statement expressed the same position as the ENSREG-WENRA statement. In addition, the Commission will hold meetings to coordinate the position of Member States and Euratom during the review meeting in Vienna. Mr Panek (EC) requested that ENSREG Members kindly communicate the messages agreed in the AQG to their national systems and diplomatic representatives to ensure smooth coordination and alignment.

ENSREG:

- **took note of the update by the Commission**
- **welcomed the alignment of the Euratom statement to the ENSREG statement and reference to previous ENSREG statements, as well as the overall coordinated approach**

Next meeting and closing remarks

The ENSREG Chair proposed the date of 3 December 2026 for the next ENSREG Plenary. No comments were received from ENSREG Members and the date for the next ENSREG Plenary was endorsed for 3 December 2026.

ANNEX I



European High-Level Group on Nuclear Safety and Waste Management

Agenda

58th meeting of ENSREG

26 March 2026 (9:00 – 16:00 h, Brussels time)

Albert Borschette Conference Centre, Room 1A

Rue Froissart 36, 1040, Brussels, Belgium

Part I – Closed session

- | | |
|----------------------|---|
| 9:00 - 9:25 | I. 1 Administrative matters and items endorsed without discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Nominations (ENSREG Chair)• Streamlining of minutes (ENSREG Chair)• Silence procedure items (ENSREG Chair)• Upcoming expiry of two Vice-Chair's and WG3 Chair mandates (ENSREG Chair) |
| 9:25 - 10:00 | I. 2 Information items <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Grant Scheme progress and next steps (EC)• Armenia Peer Review (Peer Review Team Leader, EC) |
| 10:00 - 10:30 | I. 3 Discussion items <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Concept paper on new EU safety advisory service (ST Board Chair) |
| 10:30 – 10:35 | I. 4 AOB |
| <i>10:35 - 10:50</i> | <i>Coffee break</i> |

Part II – Open session

- | | |
|----------------------|---|
| 10:50 - 11:05 | II. 1 Administrative matters and items endorsed without discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Key outcomes from the closed session (ENSREG Chair)• Streamlining of minutes (ENSREG Chair)• Silence procedure items (ENSREG Chair) |
| 11:05 - 11:50 | II. 2 Information items <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SMR<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Policy Update on the SMR Strategy and Industrial Alliance (EC) |

- Update on ENSREG SMR Task Force/SMR Dashboard (SMR TF Chair)
- Workshop on the implementation of the NSD (EC)
- Update on ENSREG Conference preparations (SC Chair)
- Fusion energy – policy update (EC)

11:50 - 12:50 II. 3 Discussion items

3.1 Euratom Topical Peer Reviews

- TPR II follow-up: Draft Action Plan for Challenges (WG1 Chair)
- TPR III preparation: topics, process and timeline (WG1, WENRA, ENSREG Chairs)

12:50 - 14:30 Lunch break

14:30 - 15:45 3.2 Nuclear Safety during Armed Conflicts and alignment ahead of CNS review meeting

- Major challenges to regulatory oversight in Ukraine (SNRIU)
- ENSREG-WENRA Statement on Ukraine (ENSREG Chair)
- Draft report from RG on nuclear safety in the context of armed conflict (RG Chair)
- Alignment of positions on the Convention on Nuclear Safety Review Meeting (EC)

15:45 – 15:50 II. 4 AOB

15:50 - 16:00 Next meeting and closing remarks

Documents for endorsement of items without discussion

Part I – Closed session

- No documents for endorsement of items without discussion

Part II – Open session

- Summary reports from WG Chairs ([Link to all WG Reports](#)) (WG Chairs)
- Report on the WG2 Workshop on National and International Experiences in Management of Radioactive Waste Arising from Medical Applications ([Link](#)) (WG2)
- WG1/WG2 Subgroup on Improvement of Peer Review Missions Efficiency and Effectiveness ([Link](#)) (WG1 & WG2)
- Nominations to the Task Force on Fusion Energy ([Link](#)) (TF on Fusion Energy)
- Terms of Reference for the Task Force on Fusion Energy ([Link](#)) (TF on Fusion Energy)

List of Working Group meetings

- Reflection Group on nuclear safety in the context of armed conflict: 22 May 2026
- Task Force on Fusion Energy: 26-27 May 2026
- SMR Task Force: 18 June 2026
- WG 1: 8 October 2026
- WG 2: 15 October 2026
- WG 3: TBC
- Stress Test Board: TBC

Annex II

List of Members and Observers

(Status 26 March 2026)

Members:

Belgium	Mr P. Absil, Federal Agency for Nuclear Control (FANC) Mr M. Demarche, ONDRAF-NIRAS
Bulgaria	Mr T. Bachiyski, Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (BNRA) Ms E. Tsvetanova, Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (BNRA)
Commission	Mr M. Hübel, Acting Deputy Director-General, DG ENER Mr J. Panek, Director for Nuclear Energy, Safety and ITER, DG ENER
Czechia	Mr S. Kochánek, State Office for Nuclear Safety Mr M. Merxbauer, State Office for Nuclear Safety
Denmark	Mr J. Thomsen, Danish Emergency Management Agency Mr K. Breddam, Danish Health Authority
Germany	Mr A. Sikorski, Federal Ministry for the Environment, Climate Action, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMUKN) Mr P. Hart, Federal Ministry for the Environment, Climate Action, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMUKN)
Estonia	Ms K. Muru, Estonian Environmental Board Mr M. Lepasson, Estonian Environmental Board
Ireland	Mr P. Byrne, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
Greece	Dr. Ch. Housiadas, Greek Atomic Energy Commission (EEAE) Ms E. Carinou, Greek Atomic Energy Commission (EEAE)
Spain	Mr J.-C. Lentijo, Spanish Nuclear Safety Council (CSN) Ms T. Vázquez, Spanish Nuclear Safety Council (CSN)
France	Mr P.-M. Abadie, Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection Authority (ASNR) Mr G. Bouyt, Ministry for the Ecological Transition
Croatia	Ms R. Laknar, Ministry of the Interior Ms A. Getaldić, Ministry of the Interior
Italy	Mr F. Campanella, National Inspectorate for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ISIN) Mr F. Giorgianni, National Inspectorate for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ISIN)
Cyprus	Mr D. Sakkas, Ministry of Labour and Social Insurance (MLSI) Mr M. Tzortzis, Ministry of Labour and Social Insurance (MLSI)

Latvia	Ms D. Šatrovska, State Environmental Service Ms G. Šmerliņa, Ministry of Climate and Energy
Lithuania	Mr M. Demčenko, State Nuclear Power Safety Inspectorate (VATESI) Mr S. Šlepavičius, State Nuclear Power Safety Inspectorate (VATESI)
Luxembourg	Ms J. Hilschmann, Ministry of Health and Social Security
Hungary	Ms A. B. Kádár, Hungarian Atomic Energy Authority (HAEA) Mr L. Juhász, Hungarian Atomic Energy Authority (HAEA)
Malta	Mr P. Brejza, Radiation Protection Commission (RPC) Mr J. Cremona, Radiation Protection Commission (RPC)
The Netherlands	Mr M. Brugmans, Authority for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ANVS) Ms A. van Bolhuis, Authority for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ANVS)
Austria	Mag. T. Augustin, Federal Ministry for Agriculture and Forestry, Climate and Environmental Protection, Regions and Water Management Ms C. Raith, Federal Ministry for Agriculture and Forestry, Climate and Environmental Protection, Regions and Water Management
Poland	Ms I. Pocztarek-Tofil, National Atomic Energy Agency (PAA) Mr P. Pytlarczyk, National Atomic Energy Agency (PAA)
Portugal	Mr J. Oliveira Martins, Portuguese Environment Agency (APA) Mr P. Rosário, Portuguese Environment Agency (APA)
Romania	Mr C. Ciurea Ercau, National Commission for Nuclear Activities Control (CNCAN) Mr M. Gaina, Nuclear & Radioactive Waste Agency (ANDR)
Slovenia	Mr I. Sirc, Slovenian Nuclear Safety Administration Mr J. Škodlar, Slovenian Nuclear Safety Administration
Slovakia	Eng. M. Žiaková, Nuclear Regulatory Authority of the Slovak Republic Ms J. Szelecká, Nuclear Regulatory Authority of the Slovak Republic
Finland	Mr P. Tiippana, Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK)
Sweden	Mr M. Knochenhauer, Swedish Radiation Safety Authority Ms C. Danestig Sjögren, Swedish Radiation Safety Authority

Observers:

Council of the EU:	Mr J. Van Elst, Energy Policies Unit, General Secretariat
HERCA:	Mr J.-L. Lachaume, HERCA chair
IAEA:	Ms A. Bradford, Department of Nuclear Safety and Security
Norway:	Mr H. Mattsson, Norwegian Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority
OECD NEA:	Ms R. Tadesse, OECD Nuclear Energy Agency
Switzerland:	Mr M. Kenzelmann, Swiss Federal Nuclear Safety Inspectorate (ENSI)
Türkiye:	Ms. O. Özdere Gülol, Nuclear Regulatory Authority of Republic of Türkiye (NDK)
Ukraine:	Mr O. Korikov, State Nuclear Regulatory Inspectorate of Ukraine (SNRIU)
United Kingdom:	Mr C. Tait, Office for Nuclear Regulation of United Kingdom (ONR)
WENRA:	Mr P. Tiippana, WENRA chair

Annex IIIENSREG 58th meeting presence list**Members and Experts**

Country	Organisation	Surname	Name
BELGIUM	Federal Agency for Nuclear Control (FANC)	ABSIL	Pascale
BELGIUM	ONDRAF-NIRAS	DEMARCHE	Marc
BELGIUM	Federal Agency for Nuclear Control (FANC)	VAN CALOEN	Cédric
BULGARIA	Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (BNRA)	KATZARSKA	Lidia
BULGARIA	Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (BNRA)	TSVETANOVA	Elizabeth
CZECHIA	State Office for Nuclear Safety	CHÁRA	Jan
CZECHIA	State Office for Nuclear Safety	MERXBAUER	Michal
CZECHIA	Nuclear Research Institute Rez (UJV)	MISAK	Jozef
DENMARK	Danish Emergency Management Agency	BREDDAM	Kresten
GERMANY	Federal Ministry for the Environment, Climate Action, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety	SIKORSKI	Andreas
GERMANY	Federal Ministry for the Environment, Climate Action, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety	STOPPA	Gisela
GERMANY	Federal Ministry for the Environment, Climate Action, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety	TRAPP	Marcus
IRELAND	Environmental Protection Agency	KELLEHER	Kevin

GREECE	Greek Atomic Energy Commission (EEAE)	HOUSIADAS	Christos
SPAIN	Spanish Nuclear Safety Council (CSN)	DE LOS REYES	Alfredo
SPAIN	Spanish Nuclear Safety Council (CSN)	LENTIJO	Juan Carlos
SPAIN	Spanish Nuclear Safety Council (CSN)	VÁZQUEZ	Teresa
FRANCE	Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection Authority (ASNR)	CHANIAL	Luc
ITALY	National Inspectorate for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ISIN)	DIONISI	Mario
ITALY	National Inspectorate for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ISIN)	MATTEOCCI	Lamberto
CYPRUS	Ministry of Labour and Social Insurance (MLSI)	SAKKAS	Demetris
CYPRUS	Ministry of Labour and Social Insurance (MLSI)	TZORTZIS	Michalakis
LITHUANIA	State Nuclear Power Safety Inspectorate (VATESI)	DEMČENKO	Michail
LUXEMBOURG	Ministry of Health and Social Security	HILSCHMANN	Jessica
HUNGARY	Hungarian Atomic Energy Authority (HAEA)	KÁDÁR	Andrea Beatrix
HUNGARY	Hungarian Atomic Energy Authority (HAEA)	RETFALVI	Eszter
THE NETHERLANDS	Authority for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ANVS)	BRUGMANS	Marco
THE NETHERLANDS	Authority for Nuclear Safety and Radiation Protection (ANVS)	VAN RIXEL	Vincent
AUSTRIA	Federal Ministry for Agriculture and Forestry, Climate and Environmental Protection, Regions and Water Management	AUGUSTIN	Thomas
AUSTRIA	Federal Ministry for Agriculture and	RAITH	Christina

	Forestry, Climate and Environmental Protection, Regions and Water Management		
POLAND	National Atomic Energy Agency (PAA)	POCZTAREK - TOFIL	Iga
POLAND	National Atomic Energy Agency (PAA)	PYTLARCZYK	Pawel
PORTUGAL	Portuguese Environment Agency (APA)	OLIVEIRA MARTINS	João
PORTUGAL	Portuguese Environment Agency (APA)	PEREIRA	Miguel
SLOVENIA	Permanent Representation of Slovenia to the EU	DOLINŠEK	Urška
SLOVENIA	Slovenian Nuclear Safety Administration	SIRC	Igor
SLOVAKIA	Nuclear Regulatory Authority of the Slovak Republic	ŽIAKOVÁ	Marta
FINLAND	Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK)	TIIPPANA	Petteri
FINLAND	Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK)	LÅNG	Ossi
SWEDEN	Swedish Radiation Safety Authority	ELOFSSON	Kim
SWEDEN	Swedish Radiation Safety Authority	KNOCHENHAUER	Michael
SWEDEN	Swedish Radiation Safety Authority	SAHLÉN	Carolina

Observers

Organisation	Surname	Name
HERCA	KNOCHENHAUER	Michael
Norwegian Radiation Protection Authority	STRAND	Per
State Nuclear Regulatory Inspectorate of Ukraine (SNRIU)	KORIKOV	Oleh
State Nuclear Regulatory Inspectorate of Ukraine (SNRIU)	GOROSHANSKYI	Andrii
Swiss Federal Nuclear Safety Inspectorate (ENSI)	KOHLER	Loriane
United Kingdom – Office for Nuclear Regulation (ONR)	TAIT	Colin
WENRA	TIIPPANA	Petteri

European Commission

Surname	Name	Directorate General
PANEK	Jan	Director for Nuclear Energy, Safety and ITER – DG ENER
GREVFORS ERNOULT	Charlotte	Head of Unit D1 – DG ENER
LOPES RAMOS	Ana Rita	Head of Unit D3 – DG ENER
FORESTIE	Benoit	Head of Unit D4 – DG ENER
FLOREA	Andrei-Ionut	Deputy Head of Unit D1 – DG ENER
TUČEK	Kamil	Deputy Head of Unit D2 – DG ENER
DINI	Ramune	Team Leader Unit D1 – DG ENER
VOLMICH	Bianca	Policy Officer Unit D1– DG ENER