

From: Peer review team
Sent: June 25, 2013
To: AEC
Subject: Taiwan Peer Review

.....

In addition to mail from 23th there is another issue we have to clarify and this is the date which the reassessment and the stress test in general shall refer to (reference date).

It is clear that our main objective is to produce a Peer Review Country Report for Taiwan which contains the most accurate and updated information. In the Country Report we will separate clearly the assessment of the Taiwanese plants as they are built and operated at the reference date from the review of measures which are meanwhile (date of the country review) implemented or planned but not fully implemented yet.

This goal is as well reflected in the template for the Country Report where there are sections *“Description of present situation of plants in country...”* (for all topics) separated from sections *“Measures (including further studies) already decided or implemented by operators and/or required for follow-up by regulators”*

The ENSREG Specification states on page 5:

“Hypothesis

For existing plants, the reassessment shall refer to the plant as it is currently built and operated on June 30, 2011.

For plants under construction, the reassessment shall refer to the licensed design.”

The reference date for existing plants of 30 June, 2011 used for the EU stress tests in Europe may not be adequate for the Taiwanese case since the Peer Review for Taiwan takes place almost 2 years later and the plants have possibly progressed a lot during this time and the reference date must be compatible with the written documents you have submitted for the EU stress test. Please consider this issue and tell us at the latest during the preparatory meeting which reference date is most appropriate in your opinion.

Beyond this we have already mentioned that you should describe in an additional document (similar to the National Action Plans submitted to ENSREG for the EU stress tests follow-up) all post-Fukushima improvement measures. For each measure please indicate the implementation status: hardware and/or analyses: completed, in progress and the date of expected or ordered completion. We need this information as well for chapter 8 of the country report *“IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN IN THE AFTERMATH OF FUKUSHIMA TO ENHANCE SAFETY OF NPPs”*.

.....