



# Nuclear Safety and NEA Perspectives post-Fukushima

## Mr. Luis E. Echávarri

## Director-General OECD Nuclear Energy Agency

European Nuclear Safety Conference 2013 Second Regulatory Conference 11-12 June 2013, Brussels, Belgium





## **Presentation Outline**

- NEA Activities to Enhance Safety
- NEA Summary Report on Activities after the Fukushima Daiichi NPP Accident
- Nuclear Safety post-Fukushima
- Conclusions





## NEA Activities to Enhance Safety post-Fukushima (1/2)

- Committee on Nuclear Regulatory Activities (CNRA)
  - ✓ Accident management
- ✓ Site selection and preparation
  ✓ Crisis communications

- Defence-in-depth
- Precursor events

#### Committee on the Safety of Nuclear Installations (CSNI)

- Filtered containment venting
- ✓ Hydrogen generation, transport and management
- ✓ Spent fuel pools under loss-of-coolant accident conditions
- ✓ Metallic component margins under high seismic loads
- Human performance under extreme conditions
- ✓ Workshop on natural external events including earthquakes
- ✓ Workshop on the robustness of electrical systems
- Fast-running software tools for the estimation of fission product releases during accidents at nuclear power plants





## NEA Activities to Enhance Safety post-Fukushima (2/2)

- Benchmark Study of the Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station (BSAF) Project
- Committee on Radiation Protection and Public Health (CRPPH)
  - $\checkmark$  Criteria for international trade in food and goods
  - Policies on returning to evacuated areas, clean-up, waste management
  - ✓ Workshops on decontamination and stakeholder involvement
  - Emergency management communications and ICRP recommendations
  - Collecting information on occupational exposure management in high radiation areas and for severe accident management





## **NEA Summary Report on Fukushima Activities**

- Prepared with input from:
  - ✓ Results of a survey of NEA members and associated countries,
  - ✓ Co-ordinated by the CNRA Senior-level Task Group on Fukushima,
  - ✓ CNRA, CSNI, CRPPH and NEA technical secretariat.
- Report is with the CNRA, the CSNI and the CRPPH for final review.
- Seeking CNRA approval in June 2013.
- To be published for public release shortly thereafter.
- To be provided to the IAEA as an input to its Fukushima report to be issued late 2014.





## Nuclear Safety after Fukushima (1/4)

#### Assurance of safety

- ✓ Regulatory authorities have provided assurance that existing plants are safe.
- Safety enhancements are being developed and implemented to respond to extreme initiating events – beyond design basis.
- Increased capabilities are being developed and implemented to provide electrical power and cooling water to prevent escalation into a severe accident.







## Nuclear Safety after Fukushima (2/4)

#### Shared responsibilities

- ✓ Primary responsibility for safety remains with the operator.
- ✓ The role of national regulators is essential in establishing and ensuring compliance with safety regulations.
- ✓ All nuclear safety professionals share the responsibility to ensure that the public and environment are protected.

#### Human and organisational factors

- $\checkmark$  There is no room for complacency.
- ✓ Safety culture is fundamental.
- Existing concepts do not require significant changes.
- Existing national and international requirements provide a framework that, if effectively implemented, could have prevented the accident.





## Nuclear Safety after Fukushima (3/4)

#### Defence-in-Depth (DiD)

(NEA workshop held 5 June 2013).

- $\checkmark$  Fundamental concept is valid and shared.
- Prevention remains the main goal at each level, but both prevention and mitigation should be considered within all levels.
- ✓ Independence of actions and resources between DiD levels.
- $\checkmark$  DiD should be applied to both the design and siting of NPPs.





## Nuclear Safety after Fukushima (4/4)

#### Crisis communication

- ✓ Must balance sharing timely information with reliability.
- Information shared by one country should not be detrimental to the affected country.

#### Research and development

- ✓ Ongoing accident recovery will affect long-term R&D.
- ✓ Significant information is being collected.
- Research is ongoing to enhance methods of analysis for areas not as mature (i.e., earthquakes and tsunami hazards).
- Benchmarking accident analysis codes building on information being collected.





### Conclusions

- $\checkmark$  The safety level is sufficient, and no immediate shutdowns are required.
- ✓ An accident can never be completely ruled out.
- $\checkmark$  The robustness to face extreme situations needs to be increased.
- $\checkmark$  Operators have the prime responsibility for safety.
- $\checkmark$  The role of national regulators is essential.
- ✓ International efforts are necessary to address the lessons learnt from the accident.
- ✓ Actions plans should be implemented with priority given to installations that have the largest risk to the public, should an accident occur.
- $\checkmark$  In-depth experience feedback will be gained over the long term.
- ✓ Large societal impacts need to be taken into account.





## Thank you for your attention

#### OECD Nuclear Energy Agency www.oecd-nea.org



ENSREG 2<sup>nd</sup> Regulatory Conference: 11 – 12 June 2013